

A DIRECT-READING DECREMETER AND WAVE METER¹

By

FREDERICK A. KOLSTER

INTRODUCTION

The laws of the United States governing radio communication specify, among other things, that at all stations the logarithmic decrement per complete oscillation in the wave trains emitted by the transmitter shall not exceed two-tenths, except when sending distress signals or messages relating thereto.

The importance of the regulation lies in the fact that when persistent oscillations of single frequency are emitted from a radio transmitting station much more selective receiving apparatus may be employed with advantage at receiving stations, permitting sharp tuning with consequent minimizing of interference caused by stations other than those with which communication is desired.

When full advantage is taken of the rapid scientific and technical progress which has been made in the methods of transmission of electromagnetic waves it is not at all difficult to comply with this requirement. In fact, it is practicable as well as desirable to keep well within this limiting value of two-tenths.

The purpose of this paper is to describe a new direct-reading instrument for measuring the logarithmic decrement and wave length, especially designed about two years ago for the radio inspection service of the Bureau of Navigation, Department of Commerce, and since adopted by the War and Navy Departments.

GENERAL THEORY

It is supposed that in the primary of two coupled circuits there exist damped electrical oscillations of decrement δ_1 , the natural decrement of the primary. The natural decrement of the secondary circuit is δ_2 . When the secondary circuit is tuned

¹Delivered before The Institute of Radio Engineers, Washington Section, February 5, 1914. This article is based on a publication in the Bulletin of the Bureau of Standards, Vol. 11 (Scientific Paper Number 235).

so that maximum current is induced in it by the primary, it is assumed that its capacity is C_r and the current in it I_r . If the secondary capacity is altered to a new value, C , the current will drop to a value I . Bjerknes has shown that the sum of primary and secondary decrements is given by the equation:

$$\delta_1 + \delta_2 = \pi \frac{C_r - C}{C} \sqrt{\frac{I^2}{I_r^2 - I^2}}$$

The conditions under which this formula may be applied with sufficient accuracy are,

1. That $\delta_1 + \delta_2$ be small as compared with 2π .
2. That $\frac{C_r - C}{C}$ be small as compared with unity.
3. That the degree of coupling between the circuits be small.

Let us assume that it is desired to determine the logarithmic decrement of the oscillations in the aerial circuit of a radio transmitter as shown in Figure 1. A circuit containing inductance




FIGURE I—Decimeter Circuit Coupled Loosely to the Antenna Circuit of a Transmitter

L , a calibrated variable condenser C , and a sensitive low resistance hot-wire instrument H , is very loosely coupled to the aerial or antenna circuit A . Readings of the hot-wire instrument

H, which are proportional to the square of the current in the circuit, are taken for several values of capacity C on both sides of the resonant value C_r . Plotting these readings against capacity, a resonance curve such as Figure 2 is obtained, and from one of the following formulas,² the sum of the logarithmic decrements δ_1 and δ_2 may be obtained.

$$\delta_1 + \delta_2 = \pi \frac{C_r - C_1}{C_1} \sqrt{\frac{I^2}{I_r^2 - I^2}}$$

$$\delta_1 + \delta_2 = \pi \frac{C_2 - C_r}{C_2} \sqrt{\frac{I^2}{I_r^2 - I^2}}$$

$$\delta_1 + \delta_2 = \pi \frac{C_2 - C_1}{C_2 + C_1} \sqrt{\frac{I^2}{I_r^2 - I^2}}$$

If the decrement δ_2 of the measuring circuit has been previously determined, the decrement δ_1 of the aerial circuit under test is at once obtained.

Altho the measurement of the logarithmic decrement as outlined above appears to be comparatively simple, nevertheless, to obtain reasonably consistent and accurate results, the observations must be taken with considerable care, the resonance curve must be plotted on a large scale, and calculations must be made from several points on the curve. In fact, it is only with laboratory conveniences that satisfactory measurements can be obtained.

The instrument, which it is the purpose of this paper to describe, was designed for the purpose of facilitating the work involved in making measurements of decrement and yet permitting as great accuracy as can be expected in the ordinary laboratory method. These requirements are particularly desirable for the purposes of the inspection service of the Bureau of Navigation in the enforcement of the radio communication laws. The inspection of a radio station on board ship, for example, has to be done quickly and in many cases under very unfavorable circumstances.

In Figure 2, a typical resonance curve is shown, and the task of obtaining the logarithmic decrement by the ordinary method is indicated. Identical results are obtained in a very much shorter time by means of the direct reading instrument.


²In practice it is found permissible to make the change in capacity from C_r to C_1 such that I^2 becomes $\frac{1}{2}I_r^2$, thus making the expression under the radical sign equal to unity.

Resonance Curve
for experimental determination
of logarithmic decrement.

Using Decimeter
 $\delta, \delta_2 = 0.121$ on scale.

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1 \delta_2 &= \pi \frac{C_1 - C_2}{C_1} \sqrt{\frac{I^2}{I^2 - I^2}} \\ &= \pi \frac{C_1 - C_2}{C_2} \sqrt{\frac{I^2}{I^2 - I^2}} \\ &= \pi \frac{C_1 - C_2}{C_2 + C_1} \sqrt{\frac{I^2}{I^2 - I^2}} \end{aligned}$$

By experiment
using usual laboratory method
with ordinary wavemeter.



DEGREES ON CONDENSER
93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100 101 102 103 104 105 106 107 108

FIGURE 2—Resonance Curve for Experimental Determination of Logarithmic Decrement

THEORY OF THE INSTRUMENT

The shape of the moving plates or surfaces of the ordinary variable condenser in common use is such that for equal angular displacements of these surfaces from the position of minimum capacity to that of maximum capacity, an approximately straight line variation of capacity is obtained. It is evident, therefore, that for any given displacement of the plates, the percentage change of capacity, $\frac{\Delta C}{C}$ will not be equal to that

obtained for an equal displacement of the plates at any other point.

In order to make it possible to attach to a variable condenser a single predetermined scale giving values of logarithmic decrement corresponding to various percentage changes of capacity thruout the range of capacity of the condenser as defined by the Bjerknes formula,

$$\delta_1 + \delta_2 = \pi \frac{C_r - C}{C}, \text{ for } I^2 = \frac{1}{2} I_r^2,$$

the capacity variation with equal displacements of the moving plates must be such that for any given displacement of the plates, taken at any point from the original position of the plates to their final position,

$$\frac{C_r - C}{C} = \frac{\Delta C}{C} = \text{a constant.}$$

The problem, therefore, of constructing a direct reading instrument for decrement measurements is largely that of determining the proper shape for the plates or surfaces of the condenser to produce a variable capacity such that for any given displacement the value of $\frac{\Delta C}{C}$ will be constant thruout the range of motion of the moving surfaces.

In other words, for a displacement of ΔX , Figure 3,

$$\frac{C_2 - C_1}{C_1} = \frac{C_3 - C_2}{C_2} = \frac{C_4 - C_3}{C_3} = \dots \dots \dots \frac{C_n - C_{n-1}}{C_{n-1}}$$

but if,
$$\frac{C_2 - C_1}{C_1} = \frac{C_3 - C_2}{C_2}$$

then
$$C_2^2 = C_1 C_3, \text{ or } C_2 = \sqrt{C_1 C_3}$$

similarly,
$$C_3 = \sqrt{C_2 C_4}$$

or,
$$C_n = \sqrt{C_{n-1} C_{n+1}}$$

It is seen, therefore, that the capacity of the variable condenser must vary in accordance with the law of geometric progression, and it is now easy to formulate the equation giving the connection between the value of capacity and the position




FIGURE 3—Capacity Varying in Accordance with the Law of Geometric Progression

of the moving plates, for since the curve of capacity must obey the law of geometric progression, we have the following, Figure 4.

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\text{at } x = 0 \text{ let } C_0 = aK^0 = a \\
 &\text{then at } x = 1 \quad C_1 = aK^1 \\
 &\quad x = 2 \quad C_2 = aK^2 \\
 &\quad x = 3 \quad C_3 = aK^3 \\
 &\quad \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \\
 &\quad x = n \quad C_n = aK^n,
 \end{aligned}$$

or, in general,
$$C = aK^x \tag{1}$$

This is equivalent to equation (1) derived above.¹

¹This law might have been deduced more directly as follows: The fundamental requirement of the condenser may be written:

$$\frac{dC}{C} = ndx \tag{2}$$

$$\therefore \log C = nx + h$$

and

$$C = \epsilon^{nx+h} = a\epsilon^{nx}$$

For the case of a rotary condenser where θ is the displacement angle in degrees

$$C = a \epsilon^{m\theta} \tag{3}$$




FIGURE 4—Geometric Progression

DESIGN OF CONDENSER

Since the capacity of a condenser is directly proportional to the active area of the movable surface, neglecting edge effects we may write,

$$A = b e^{m\theta}$$

A being the area of the active surface of the moving plate, and θ the angle of displacement.

If we now consider Figure 5, the actual shape of the moving plate can be determined.




FIGURE 5—Shape of Rotary Plate of Condenser

By analogy with equation (2)

$$\frac{d A}{A} = m d \theta$$

or,

$$d A = b m \varepsilon^{m\theta} d \theta$$

but,

$$d A = \frac{1}{2}(\rho^2 - r^2) d \theta$$

ρ being the distance from the center O to the enveloping curve of the plate, or the radius vector, and r being the radius of the small circular space occupied by the separating washers between the plates.

Then
$$\frac{1}{2}\rho^2 - \frac{1}{2}r^2 = b m \varepsilon^{m\theta}$$

and

$$\rho = \sqrt{2 b m \varepsilon^{m\theta} + r^2}$$

b and m are constants which determine the minimum and maximum values of capacity of the condenser to be used, and having chosen these constants to suit our particular requirements, we can immediately determine the size and shape of our plates and construct a condenser, the capacity of which will vary in accordance with the law of geometric progression.

In Figure 6 are shown the stationary and rotary plates of the condenser. The stationary plates are made semicircular for convenience.




FIGURE 6—Stationary Surface, Movable Surface

Equations (1) and (3) are identical and we may write

$$K^x = \epsilon^{m\theta}$$

or $x \log K = m \theta$

therefore $m = \frac{x \log K}{\theta}$

If we assume that when

$$x = 1, \theta = 180^\circ$$

then $x = \frac{\theta}{180}$

and $m = \frac{\log K}{180}$

therefore, $C = a \epsilon^{\frac{\log K}{180} \theta}$

for $\theta = 0, C_0 = a$

for $\theta = 180^\circ, C_{180} = aK$

hence, the ratio between maximum and minimum capacity will be

$$\frac{C_{180}}{C_0} = K$$

In order to obtain the ratio K which has been chosen to suit our particular requirements, a fixed condenser is connected in parallel with the rotary condenser. The capacity of this fixed condenser is determined experimentally.

A rotary condenser constructed in accordance with the theory just given, with a fixed capacity connected in parallel with it, so chosen as to give the desired ratio between the maximum and minimum capacity of the combined condensers, will give a calibration curve in exact agreement with theoretical values.

DETERMINATION OF DECREMENT SCALE

It has been shown that since the capacity of the condenser to be used in the instrument varies in accordance with the law of geometric progression, the term $\frac{C_r - C}{C}$ in the formula,

$$\delta_1 + \delta_2 = \pi \frac{C_r - C}{C} \sqrt{\frac{I^2}{I_r^2 - I^2}} = \pi \frac{C_r - C}{C}$$

when $I^2 = \frac{1}{2} I_r^2$

will remain constant for any given angular displacement of the rotary plates throught the range of motion from 0° to 180° .

In order, therefore, to predetermine a scale which can be attached to the rotary condenser and which will indicate directly the value of $\delta_1 + \delta_2$ for various displacements of the rotary plates, the following calculations are made.

$$\text{Case I: } \delta_1 + \delta_2 = \pi \frac{C_r - C_1}{C_1}$$

where C_r is the value of capacity at resonance and C_1 is a smaller capacity of such a value that the current squared is reduced to one-half of its value at complete resonance.

Since C_r is proportional to $\epsilon^{m\theta_r}$ and C_1 is proportional to $\epsilon^{m\theta_1}$, we may write

$$\delta_1 + \delta_2 = \pi \frac{\epsilon^{m\theta_r} - \epsilon^{m\theta_1}}{\epsilon^{m\theta_1}} = \pi \left(\epsilon^{m(\theta_r - \theta_1)} - 1 \right).$$

Let $\delta = \delta_1 + \delta_2$ for convenience,

$$\text{then, } \epsilon^{m(\theta_r - \theta_1)} = \frac{\delta}{\pi} + 1 = \frac{\delta + \pi}{\pi}$$

$$\text{and } \theta_r - \theta_1 = \frac{1}{m} \log \frac{\delta + \pi}{\pi}$$

The displacement angle $\Delta\theta = \theta_r - \theta_1$ may therefore be immediately calculated for various values of $\delta = \delta_1 + \delta_2$. m is as before a constant dependent upon the ratio of maximum to minimum capacity of the condenser and is equal to $\frac{\log K}{180}$, where K represents this ratio.

$$\text{Case II: } \delta_1 + \delta_2 = \pi \frac{C_2 - C_r}{C_2}$$

where C_r is again the value of capacity at complete resonance and C_2 is a larger capacity of such a value that the current squared is reduced to one-half of the value at complete resonance.

Since C_2 is proportional to $\epsilon^{m\theta_2}$ and C_r is proportional to $\epsilon^{m\theta_r}$

$$\delta = \delta_1 + \delta_2 = \pi \frac{\epsilon^{m\theta_2} - \epsilon^{m\theta_r}}{\epsilon^{m\theta_2}} = \pi \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon^{m\theta_r}}{\epsilon^{m\theta_2}} \right)$$

$$\text{then } \epsilon^{m(\theta_2 - \theta_r)} = \frac{\pi}{\pi - \delta}$$

$$\text{and } \theta_2 - \theta_r = \frac{1}{m} \log \frac{\pi}{\pi - \delta}$$

and again, the displacement angle $\Delta\theta = \theta_2 - \theta_r$ may be readily calculated for various values of $\delta = \delta_1 + \delta_2$.

For the particular case in question, the following angles and corresponding decrements as calculated are tabulated:

$\delta_1 + \delta_2$	Case I. Reducing capacity from resonance: $\theta_r - \theta_1$	Case II. Increasing capacity from resonance: $\theta_2 - \theta_r$
0.05	1°.292	1°.313
0.10	2.564	2.650
0.15	3.821	4.008
0.20	5.055	5.389
0.25	6.272	6.793
0.30	7.472	8.222

It should be emphasized that the formula

$$\delta_1 + \delta_2 = \pi \frac{C_r - C}{C} \sqrt{\frac{I^2}{I_r^2 - I^2}}$$

does not strictly apply in cases where $\delta_1 + \delta_2$ is great in comparison with 2π and $\frac{C_r - C}{C}$ is great in comparison with unity.

For $\delta_1 + \delta_2 = 0.2$ the formula may still be applied for practical purposes with reasonable accuracy. In the foregoing tabulation calculations have been made for $\delta_1 + \delta_2$ as high as 0.3, but the method and formula should preferably not be applied at values of $\delta_1 + \delta_2$ greater than 0.2.

It will be noted that the angles tabulated above are very small, and if the decrement scale were attached directly to the shaft of the condenser it would be extremely short and difficult to read.

In order to open out the scale, it is geared to the condenser shaft at a 6-to-1 ratio, as shown in Figure 7.

Furthermore, the decrement readings are taken in such a way as to simultaneously include both measurements as defined by cases I and II. The displacement angle is then the sum of the angles tabulated under these two cases.

$$\Delta\theta = \theta_2 - \theta_r + \theta_r - \theta_1 = \theta_2 - \theta_1$$

The value of this angle $\Delta\theta = \theta_2 - \theta_1$ could have been calculated directly from the formula

$$\delta_1 + \delta_2 = \pi \frac{C_2 - C_1}{C_2 + C_1}$$

for since C_2 is proportional to $\epsilon^{m\theta_2}$ and C_1 is proportional to $\epsilon^{m\theta_1}$, then carrying out the method used in cases I and II we get directly,

$$\theta_2 - \theta_1 = \frac{1}{m} \log \frac{\pi + \delta}{\pi - \delta}$$




FIGURE 7—Variable Condenser, Showing Gears and Scales Mechanically Attached

The final graduations for the decrement scale are obtained by multiplying $\theta_2 - \theta_1$ by the gear ratio of 6, as in the following table:

$\delta_1 + \delta_2$	$\theta_2 - \theta_1$	$(\theta_2 - \theta_1) \times 6$
0.	0	0
0.05	2° .605	15° .63
0.10	5 .214	31 .28
0.15	7 .830	46 .98
0.20	10 .444	62 .70
0.25	13 .065	78 .39
0.30	15 .694	94 .20

The decrement scale is marked to the left and to the right of zero in accordance with this table.

THE MEASUREMENT OF LOGARITHMIC DECREMENT

Considering now Figure 8, the operation for measuring the logarithmic decrement is as follows:




FIGURE 8—Diagram Showing Relation Between Decrement Scale and Resonance Curve

The rotary condenser is first set at the position of complete resonance as indicated by the maximum deflection of the sensitive hot-wire instrument, the scale readings of which are proportional to the current squared. This maximum deflection is now reduced to one-half its value by decreasing or increasing the capacity of the rotary condenser. The decrement scale, which may be rotated independently, is now set at zero, then clamped so that when the condenser is again varied it will rotate with it.

Starting at the zero setting with the hot-wire instrument reading one-half the maximum deflection, the condenser is varied continuously in one direction until the needle of the hot-wire instrument makes a complete excursion from one-half deflection to maximum deflection and back again to one-half deflection. The scale reading now opposite the index mark O is the value of $\delta_1 + \delta_2$, δ_1 being the decrement of the circuit under test and δ_2 the known decrement of the instrument.

It will be noted by referring to Figure 7, that it is desirable to make the zero setting of the decrement scale at the point of half deflection and also to take the final reading at the point of half deflection, because at these points the resonance curve is steep, and consequently the settings are sharply defined and easily made. In this connection it will be noted that the formula

$$\delta_1 + \delta_2 = \pi \frac{C_2 - C_1}{C_2 + C_1}$$

does not involve the resonant value of capacity C_r , but only those at the points of half deflection where the slope of the resonance curve is steep. This formula is therefore the most desirable one to use, and the decremeter is consequently operated in accordance with it.

In Figure 9 a schematic diagram of the circuit is shown. I is a single-turn coil which may be connected in the circuit under test, as, for example, the aerial circuit of a radio transmitter. The inductance of this single turn is, in the majority of practical cases, small as compared with the total inductance of the circuit under test, and therefore will not affect the tuning adjustment.

The coil L is the inductance of the decremeter circuit and is so arranged that the mutual inductance between it and coil I can be easily varied. It is very essential that the degree of coupling between the circuit under test and the decremeter circuit be small.

C_v is the variable condenser to which the decrement scale is attached thru gears. In parallel with C_v is a small condenser C_f which remains fixed in value after proper adjustment.

H represents the hot-wire instrument or indicating device, the scale of which is so marked that the readings are proportional to the square of the current passing thru it.

A crystal detector D is provided and the wave length of distant stations may be measured by using telephone receivers T.

By means of a switch, the buzzer circuit R B E may be connected to the instrument for calibration purposes.




FIGURE 9—Diagram of Connections

Figure 10 shows a top view of the instrument in detail.




FIGURE 10—Top View of Decremeter

W = Wave length scale
 C = Condenser scale in degrees
 D = Decrement scale
 H = Scale of hot wire instrument
 A = Knob operating variable condenser

B = Clamping screw
 S = Short circuit release
 Z = Zero adjusting screw
 a b = Terminals of condensers
 c d = Terminals for series connection

EXPERIMENTAL DATA

In order to determine the accuracy of the instrument for measurement of $\delta_1 + \delta_2$ the following experiments were made:

Experiment 1.—The decremeter was used as an ordinary wave meter, loosely coupled to the secondary of a quenched spark transmitter. A resonance curve was obtained similar to that shown in Figure 2, and for several ratios $\frac{I_r^2}{I^2}$, $\delta_1 + \delta_2$ was calculated from the formula

$$\delta_1 + \delta_2 = 2\pi \frac{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}{\lambda_2 + \lambda_1} \sqrt{\frac{I^2}{I_r^2 - I^2}}$$

and the following values obtained:

$\frac{I_r^2}{I^2}$	$\delta_1 + \delta_2$
1.180	0.0970
1.475	0.0893
1.735	0.0911
2.000	<u>0.0903</u>
Average	0.0919

A single measurement obtained by means of the decremeter used as a direct reading instrument gave at once a value of 0.091 for $\delta_1 + \delta_2$

A further check was obtained by calculating the required value of $\theta_2 - \theta_1$ for $\delta_1 + \delta_2 = 0.091$ from the formula

$$\theta_2 - \theta_1 = \frac{1}{m} \log \frac{\pi + \delta}{\pi - \delta} \text{ for } I^2 = \frac{1}{2} I_r^2$$

$$\theta_2 - \theta_1 = 4^\circ.75 \text{ by calculation}$$

$\theta_2 - \theta_1 = 4^\circ.68$ as determined from the experimentally obtained resonance curve.

Experiment 2.—In this experiment the decremeter was again loosely coupled to the secondary circuit of a quenched spark transmitter and a direct measurement made of $\delta_1 + \delta_2$. A resistance, in the form of a short straight piece of about No. 40 manganin wire, was then inserted in the circuit of the instrument and a direct measurement made of $\delta_1 + \delta_2 + \Delta\delta_2$, $\Delta\delta_2$ being the additional decrement due to the inserted resistance.

The capacity of the condenser and the frequency of the oscillations being known, the value of the inserted resistance R was calculated from the formula

$$R = \frac{\Delta\delta_2}{\pi C \omega}$$

and the following results obtained.

TEST No. 1

$\delta_1 + \delta_2$ read from decrement scale of instrument	$\delta_1 + \delta_2 + \Delta\delta_2$ read from decrement scale of instrument
---	--

0.132	0.168
0.130	0.169
0.130	0.163
0.131	0.172
0.130	0.167

Average = 0.131	Average = 0.168
-----------------	-----------------

$$\delta_1 + \delta_2 + \Delta\delta_2 = 0.168$$

$$\delta_1 + \delta_2 = 0.131$$

$$\therefore \Delta\delta_2 = 0.037$$

Capacity of condenser at resonance = $334 \mu.\mu.f.$

$$\omega = 2\pi n = 3.66 \times 10^6$$

$$R = \frac{\Delta\delta_2}{\pi C \omega} = 9.63 \Omega$$

By measurement on D. C. bridge,

$$R = 9.51 \Omega$$

Another test was made at a different frequency and consequently with a different value of capacity.

TEST No. 2

$$\delta_1 + \delta_2 + \Delta\delta_2 = 0.155$$

$$\delta_1 + \delta_2 = 0.099$$

$$\Delta\delta_2 = 0.056$$

Capacity of condenser at resonance = $764 \mu.\mu.f.$

$$\omega = 2\pi n = 2.47 \times 10^6$$

$$R = \frac{\Delta\delta_2}{\pi C \omega} = 9.45 \Omega$$

Value of R measured on D. C. bridge = 9.51.

$$\text{Test No. 1: } R = 9.63 \Omega$$

$$\text{Test No. 2: } R = 9.45 \Omega$$

$$\text{Average} = 9.54 \Omega$$

Experiment 3.—In this case resistance was inserted in the secondary circuit of the transmitter and the value of this resistance calculated in the same manner as in experiment 2.

TEST No. 1

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_1 + \Delta\delta_1 + \delta_2 &= 0.141 \\ \delta_1 + \delta_2 &= 0.089 \\ \Delta\delta_1 &= 0.052\end{aligned}$$

Capacity of condenser at resonance = 3900 $\mu.\mu.f.$

$$\omega = 2\pi n = 3.35 \times 10^6$$

$$R = \frac{\Delta\delta_1}{\pi C \omega} = 1.27^\Omega$$

Value of R measured on D. C. bridge = 1.242 $^\Omega$

TEST No. 2

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_1 + \Delta\delta_1 + \delta_2 &= 0.111 \\ \delta_1 + \delta_2 &= 0.074 \\ \Delta\delta_1 &= 0.037\end{aligned}$$

Capacity of condenser at resonance = 3900 $\mu.\mu.f.$

$$\omega = 2.43 \times 10^6$$

$$R = \frac{\Delta\delta_1}{\pi C \omega} = 1.24^\Omega$$

$$\text{Test No. 1: } R = 1.27^\Omega$$

$$\text{Test No. 2: } R = 1.24^\Omega$$

$$\text{Average} = 1.255^\Omega$$

DETERMINATION OF WAVE-LENGTH SCALE

Since the capacity of the variable condenser in the instrument varies according to a definitely known law, it is possible to attach to this condenser a predetermined scale indicating wave lengths directly. The graduations of the wave length scale are determined by calculation in the following manner:

It has been shown that the capacity of the condenser may be expressed as $C = a\varepsilon^{m\theta}$.

The wave length λ is proportional to \sqrt{C}

therefore, λ is proportional to $\sqrt{\varepsilon^{m\theta}}$

or λ is proportional to $\varepsilon^{\frac{m\theta}{2}} = \varepsilon^{n\theta}$

where

$$n = \frac{m}{2}$$

Now let λ_1 be any wave length within the range of the instrument, and λ_2 any other wave length desired, then

$$\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} = \frac{\varepsilon^{n\theta_2}}{\varepsilon^{n\theta_1}} = \varepsilon^{n(\theta_2 - \theta_1)}$$

and
$$\log \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} = n(\theta_2 - \theta_1)$$

or
$$\theta_2 - \theta_1 = \frac{1}{n} \log \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} = \frac{2}{m} \log \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}$$

therefore
$$\theta_2 = \theta_1 \pm \frac{2}{m} \log \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}$$

For the purpose of determining the graduations for the scale, λ_1 may be any wave length, as, for example, 300 meters. Furthermore, θ_1 may be taken as zero, for convenience, then

$$\theta_2 = \pm \frac{2}{m} \log \frac{\lambda_2}{300}$$

From this equation θ_2 may be calculated for any wave length λ_2 .

The scale is arranged so that it can rotate about the shaft of the variable condenser independently but remains stationary when the condenser is rotated. A pointer is attached to the shaft of the condenser and travels over the scale as the condenser rotates.

In order to cover a wide range of wave lengths, several coils are supplied with the instrument, each coil covering a part of the range. It is necessary, therefore, to adjust the position of the wave length scale to correspond with the particular coil in circuit. Red marks on the wave length scale indicate the maximum wave length obtainable with the coils 1, 2, and 3, respectively. The position of these red marks is determined experimentally.

THE MEASUREMENT OF WAVE LENGTH

The variable condenser is first set at 180°, the wave length scale is then adjusted so that the red mark on the scale corresponding to the coil in circuit is directly under the pointer attached to the condenser shaft. The wave length scale remains in this position and, as the condenser is varied, the pointer travels over the scale, indicating the wave length when resonance is obtained, as shown by the maximum reading of the hot-wire instrument needle.

When the instrument is used as a receiver with detector and telephones, or as a transmitter using the buzzer, the wave length

scale does not strictly apply for the wave length range below the 90° position of the condenser. In these cases it is necessary to refer to calibration curves for the small correction.

The instrument may be used in an interesting manner for receiving audible signals from a source of undamped oscillations, such as an arc circuit or a high frequency alternator. To accomplish this, oscillations are set up in the wave meter circuit by means of the buzzer and the telephone receivers are connected to the detector at T and to the binding post a instead of b (see Figure 10). Under these conditions, when undamped oscillations are induced in the circuit, a heterodyne effect is produced and the wave meter becomes a comparatively sensitive receiver of undamped oscillations. Very weak harmonics existing in arc circuits may be readily measured by using the instrument in this manner.

DETERMINATION OF THE DECREMENT OF THE INSTRUMENT

To obtain the logarithmic decrement, δ_1 of the circuit under test, it is necessary to know δ_2 , the decrement of the instrument, in order that it may be subtracted from the scale reading which is $\delta_1 + \delta_2$.

An ideal method for determining δ_2 would be to charge the condenser of the instrument at a given potential and allow it to discharge thru the circuit, first without inserted resistance and then with a known resistance inserted in the circuit, noting in each case the reading of the hot-wire instrument.

The energy in the circuit in both cases would then be equal and,

$$I_1^2 R = I_2^2 (R + \mathcal{J}R)$$

where R is the resistance of the circuit and $\mathcal{J}R$ is the resistance inserted. Then,

$$R = \mathcal{J}R \frac{I_2^2}{I_1^2 - I_2^2}$$

where I_2^2 and I_1^2 represent, respectively, the readings of the hot-wire instrument with and without inserted resistance, these readings being proportional to the square of the current flowing in the circuit.

If the inductance L or capacity C of the circuit are known, δ_2 is then determined for any value of ω , since,

$$\delta_2 = \pi RC\omega = \pi \frac{R}{L\omega}$$

A method used in practice which approaches this ideal case very closely is as follows:

Energy is supplied to the instrument by means of impact excitation, in which case nearly free oscillations exist in the circuit of the instrument. These oscillations, therefore, have a frequency and damping determined by the constants of the circuit. To determine the resistance of the circuit, readings of the hot-wire instrument are taken with and without inserted resistance. The energy in the circuit, however, would not in practice be strictly equal in the two cases and

$$I_1^2 R = K I_2^2 (R + \mathcal{J}R)$$

or

$$R = \mathcal{J}R \frac{K I_2^2}{I_1^2 - K I_2^2}$$

It has been shown in previous works¹ on this subject that

$$K = 1 + \frac{\mathcal{J}\delta}{\delta_1 + \delta_2}$$

where δ_1 is the decrement of the exciting circuit, δ_2 the decrement of the instrument circuit, and $\mathcal{J}\delta$ the additional decrement due to the insertion of a small resistance $\mathcal{J}R$.

It is seen that for the case of impact excitation where δ_1 is very large as compared to $\mathcal{J}\delta$, K will be very nearly unity and for practical purposes we may write

$$R = \mathcal{J}R \frac{I_2^2}{I_1^2 - I_2^2} = \mathcal{J}R \frac{1}{\frac{I_1^2}{I_2^2} - 1}$$

Where it is desired to make $\mathcal{J}R$, the inserted resistance equal to R , the resistance of the instrument circuit, which corresponds to making $\mathcal{J}\delta$ equal to δ_2 , then for the case of impact excitation,

$$\frac{I_1^2}{I_2^2} = 2$$

On the other hand, if $\delta_1 = 0$ as in the case of undamped oscillations,

$$K = 2 \text{ and } \frac{I_1^2}{I_2^2} = 4$$

In general, therefore, when it is desired to make the inserted

¹Lehrbuch der Drahtlosen Telegraphie. Zenneck, 1913, p. 142.

resistance $\mathcal{J}R$ equal to the resistance of the instrument R , the amount by which I_1^2 must be reduced or the ratio of $\frac{I_1^2}{I_2^2}$ depends upon the ratio of δ_1 to δ_2 , for when $\mathcal{J}\delta = \delta_2$

$$K = 1 + \frac{\delta_2}{\delta_1 + \delta_2} = 1 + \frac{1}{\frac{\delta_1}{\delta_2} + 1}$$

and for $\delta_1 = 0$, $K = 2$ and $\frac{I_1^2}{I_2^2} = 4$

$$\delta_1 = \infty, K = 1 \text{ and } \frac{I_1^2}{I_2^2} = 2$$

For intermediate values of $\frac{\delta_1}{\delta_2}$ between 0 and ∞ , K will vary from 2 to 1 and the ratio $\frac{I_1^2}{I_2^2}$ correspondingly from 4 to 2.

The most direct and simple method, however, for obtaining δ_2 is to excite the instrument by means of undamped oscillations. Then

$$\delta_2 = \pi \frac{C_2 - C_1}{C_2 + C_1} \sqrt{\frac{I^2}{I_r^2 - I^2}}$$

as shown in the earlier part of this paper.

If suitable means for producing undamped oscillation are not available the method of impact excitation is very satisfactory, provided that δ_1 is very large as compared with $\mathcal{J}\delta$.

The curves in Figure 11 give the values of δ_2 for coils 1, 2, and 3, at various settings of the variable condenser. These values were obtained by the method of impact excitation.

On curve 3 are shown values of δ_2 obtained by using undamped oscillations from a Poulsen arc as a source of excitation.

In Figure 12, the assembled decimeter is shown, with the cover of the carrying case turned back. As will be seen, the coupling and decimeter coils and their supports are mounted for transportation in the cover. The function of the other parts shown is made clear by reference to the key of Figure 10. Figure 13 is a photograph of the interior of the instrument. To the right is the special variable condenser, and to the left the variable condenser which is set once for all in the initial calibration of the instrument. This latter condenser is of the rectangular sliding interwoven plate type.




FIGURE 11—Decrement of Instrument

SUMMARY: A new type of direct-reading decrementer and wave meter is described. It is based on the Bjerknes method, but much simplifies the measurement and increases the accuracy thereof. The variable condenser of this wave meter is of a special type, the movable plates being cut to an appropriate outline. By placing a fixed capacity in parallel with this variable condenser, which fixed capacity is adjusted once for all in each instrument, a standard and predetermined calibration curve can be used with all instruments. The design and construction of the apparatus is given in detail, and a number of measurements with it are considered critically. Several methods of determining the decrement of the instrument itself are shown. The instrument can also be used as an exciting circuit of known wave length and decrement. An interesting example of heterodyne reception of sustained oscillations with this instrument is described.




FIGURE 12—Decremeter Mounted in Leather Carrying Case




FIGURE 13.—Interior View of Decremeter Showing Condensers